

- Need, presenting in strategy, 120
 - Need-plan-benefit strategy
 - comparative claims, 126
 - comparative policies, negative stance, 131
 - defined, 249
 - policy claims, 119–125
 - research and, 146–147
 - Negative constructive case
 - causal warrants, 98
 - coherence and, 114–115
 - need-plan-benefit strategy, 121–124
 - sequence of, 82
 - Negative relevance, 66
 - Negative speakers, sequence of, 82
 - Negative stance, comparative policies, 131
 - Negative worksheet, exercises, 226
 - Newspapers, research techniques, 149
 - Non-sequitur, 70–72
 - Notes, judging and, 173
- ## O
- Objects, describing features, 102–103
 - Objects, simple value propositions, 101–102
 - Olbrechts-Tyteca, Lucie, 1
 - Online resources, researching, 149–150
 - Oral style, exercises, 231
 - Order value category, evidence, 41–42
 - Organization, debate clubs, 184
 - Outlines, cases, 3, 105, 109–110, 114–115
- ## P
- Parameters, 34–35
 - Parents, debate clubs and, 186
 - Perelman, Chaim, 1
 - Perfect arguments, 64
 - Periodicals, research techniques, 148–149
 - Personal attack, fallacies of, 71
 - Personal uses, debates, 3
 - Personal value category, evidence, 41–42
 - Personification, style and, 165
 - Persuasion, 5–6, 33, 37
 - Pick-up rebuttal, exercises, 229
 - Pitch, style and, 163–164
 - Plan, defined, 249
 - Poisoning the well, fallacies, 72, 249
 - Policy claims, comparative
 - affirmative plan, 127–128
 - affirmative stance, 125–126
 - affirmative structure, 129–131
 - conceptualizing the case, 126–127
 - constructing, affirmative advantages, 127–128
 - constructing arguments, 125–131
 - constructing negative case, 131–132
 - defined and explained, 26–27, 117–118, 247
 - negative arguments, constructing, 131–133
 - policies, analysis and replacement, 126–127
 - Policy claims, propositions of, 25–26, 117–119
 - Policy claims, simple
 - alternative policy, defending, 122–123
 - attacking plan, 123–124
 - defending current, 122–123
 - explained, 117–118
 - minor repairs, advocating, 122–123
 - need-plan-benefit strategy, 119–125
 - need-plan-benefits strategy, negating, 121–124
 - replacement arguments, 119–124
 - status quo and, 120–122
 - Policy debate, American, 133 n1
 - Policy options, 126–127
 - Political appeals, 7
 - Popper, Karl, theory, testing, 92
 - Popularity, appeal to, defined, 246
 - Positive discrimination, 116n3
 - Positive relevance, 66
 - Post hoc, fallacies, 74, 249
 - Post-debate assessment, exercises, 220
 - Power, legitimacy, 5
 - Practice, fallacy of common, 74
 - Practice, improper appeal to, defined, 248
 - Preference, evidence based on, 32
 - Premise, problematic, 69–70, 249
 - Preparation time periods, 84, 249
 - Presence, feature of argument, 43n3
 - Presumptions
 - concept of, 121–122
 - defined, 31, 249
 - evidence and, 32, 37–39, 249
 - rebuttable, 38
 - Principle, warrants of, 46, 55–60, 246
 - Problematic premises, fallacy of, 69–70, 249
 - Problems
 - arguments arranged by, 162
 - identifying and solving, 120
 - negating cause, 122
 - non-existence argument, 122
 - with present system, 122
 - Propositions
 - causal, 27
 - defined, 19, 250
 - of definition, 21–22, 27, 250
 - of description, 22–23, 27–28, 250
 - distinguished from claims, 20–21
 - of evaluation, 25–27, 250
 - identifying, 216
 - of relationship, 24–25, 27–28, 250
 - of similarity, 27, 250
 - simple value, defined, 250
 - values and, 27–28
 - PROQUEST, 149
 - Proving conclusions, 64
 - Public debates, knowledge and, 7–8
 - Public spheres, debates and, 4–6
- ## Q
- Qualifying answers, cross-examination, 158
 - Quality, stasis question of, 146
 - Quality value category, evidence, 41–42
 - Quantity value category, evidence, 41–42
 - Question and answer, arguments arranged by, 162