

Bias, judging, 169–170
 Bibliographies, research and, 146–147
 Blair, J. Anthony, 2n1
 Books, research techniques, 148
 Brainstorming, exercises, 215
 Brainstorming, research and, 147

C

Canons of Causation, 89–90
 Capitulating, cross-examination and, 159
 Cases
 construction, 79, 224, 247
 outlines, 105, 109–110, 114–115
 Categories, persuasive, 36–37
 Categories, values, evidence based on preference, 32
 Causal relationship, 88, 94–96
 Causal warrants, 50–52
 arguments and, 87–88, 247
 canons of causation, (Mills), 89–90
 causal relationship, 88, 94–96
 cause, describing, 88, 94–96
 constructing arguments, 94–96
 contributory causal arguments, 93
 correlation method, 91–92
 defined, 46
 effect, demonstrating, 88, 94–96
 effect, refuting, 98
 fallacies of, 99
 intervening and counteracting causes, 93
 method of difference, 90–91
 necessary causal arguments, 92
 opposing arguments of, 111–112
 opposing, constructing arguments, 97–99
 parts of, 88
 proving, 92
 refuting, methods of, 97–99
 relationships, errors, 89–90
 standard of relevance, 67
 sufficient, 93
 supporting, methods of, 89–90
 theoretical elements of, 88–109
 theories refuting, 92
 types of, 92–109
 Causation, canons of (Mills), 89–90
 Cause
 absence effects of, 98–99
 alternative cause (refutation), 97–98
 arguments arranged by, 162
 describing, 88, 94–96
 and effect. *see* Causal warrants
 mis-identified, 124
 refuting description, 97
 stasis question of, 146
 Cause and effect reasoning, 247
 Characteristics, shared, 46
 Choices, rebuttals and, 137–139
 Chronology, arguments arranged by, 162
 Claims
 arguments and, 13
 combining, comparative value warrants, 106–109

defined, 19, 247
 distinguished from propositions, 20–21
 evidence and, 7
 force of, 19–20
 modalities, 19
 reason and, 8–9
 structured, exercises, 222
 values and, 27–28
 Clarity, cross-examination and, 154
 Clarity, style and, 163
 Coaches, role of, debate teams, 185–186
 Codes, cultural, 175–176
 Cogent arguments, criteria, 64
 Cogent subarguments, acceptability conditions, 65
 Coherence, negative case and, 114–115
 Collaboration, debate clubs and, 183
 Commentaries
 affirmative cross-examination, first, 191
 affirmative cross-examination, second, 203
 affirmative rebuttal and refutation, 197
 affirmative speech, first, 189
 affirmative speech, third, 205
 negative constructive and refutation, 193
 negative, cross-examination, first, 194
 negative, cross-examination, second, 199
 negative speech, second, 201
 negative speech, third, 207
 Comments, judges, importance of, 173
 Comparative advantage, 126, 130–131, 247
 Comparative policies. *see* Policy claims, comparative
 Comparative value propositions. *see* Value propositions, comparative
 Composition, debate club, 182
 Composition, fallacies, 74, 248
 Concept of presumption, 121–122
 Conclusions, hasty, defined, 248
 Conclusions, supporting and proving, 64
 Conflict, comparative value proposition, 106
 Conflict, cultural, 176
 Constitution, team, 235
 Constraints, rebuttals, 138–139
 Constructive speech, defined, 247
 Contention creation worksheet, exercises, 222
 Contributory causal arguments, 93, 247
 Control, cross-examination and, 156
 Conundrums, 194–195
 Convergent arguments, 18, 247
 Convergent arguments, diagram, 15–16
 Cooperation, debate clubs, 182–183
 Cooperative arguments, 10
 Cooperative debates, 6
 Correlation
 absence of, 92
 negating, 99
 Correlation, method of, causal relationships, 91–92, 249
 Counterplan, defined, 247
 Counterpoints, 227
 Creating disadvantage, 124
 Credibility, cross-examination and, 155
 Criminal court systems, sufficiency and, 67