

Protocol puts on our military; there are other alternatives. We can do it through market-based incentives. We've been investing in cleaner technologies. Simply, there are other ways to do it. All their economic benefits they discussed were under the Mayors, and the Mayors didn't even follow the Kyoto Protocol very much at all. So, please, I urge a Con ballot.

JENNIFER GOLDSTEIN, STONEMAN DOUGLAS (FINAL FOCUS, PRO)

Are the judges ready? The reason you're not going to negate today is for the two reasons they gave you to negate. They talked about developing nations and about how they don't want to participate in 2012 talks. However, they don't substantiate this with evidence nor do they substantiate it with logic, when we've shown that they can benefit through clean development mechanisms. The second reason they gave you to negate is the national security point. And we've already disagreed about Congress's ruling and its importance or not, but we think through it logically that the United Nations will not place sanctions on countries' militaries if they want them to join the Kyoto Protocol. Moreover, we haven't seen any results from these sanctions, so I ask you to question that in this round today. The reason you are going to affirm is because the Kyoto Protocol has hidden benefits and potential benefits. We talked about the economy and how the Conference of Mayors has actually followed the exact Kyoto Protocol standards as outlined in their charter and found that it is successful both economically and environmentally. We have a source from PBS in 2005 which my partner didn't get to say in the Grand Cross. Finally, we ask you to look at the environmental benefits; they say that one-twentieth of one degree is not enough, but we say that it is more than enough. We parallel it to Oxford's train analogy. We show that climate change can't change overnight. You have to do a slow halt, then a stop, then reverse.

If a first step of policy is already reversing climate change, it is more than an effective policy. It solves for the two things it must. We talk about businesses and the economy and the environment all benefiting from one Protocol and one signature. That's all you have to do today, judges, is sign one little ballot saying that we're going to benefit economically and environmentally and that our businesses have a foot in the door, which is why you are going to affirm today's resolution.

[Debaters shake hands]

TED TURNER'S COMMENTS