

lower-income individuals were not volunteering as much, but our Lester evidence indicates and our *World Magazine* evidence—which is the last piece of evidence read—indicates that volunteering is across-the-board high in the status quo. Thus people are already engaged.

Next, people will not give up their summers to do this. Their evidence just indicates that parents might be able to pressure these kids, but kids are not thinking about going into college. Maybe parents are kind of thinking about these kids going into college, but \$500 is not enough for individuals to jump-start the ideas of their parents because even parents, especially of lower-income individuals who are obviously making lower incomes, would not be able to save up for college over a period of four years. This means that they are not actually able to solve for these harms.

Our Ford evidence indicates that civic engagement is also on balance high in the status quo because of protests against Iraq, our major example.

The internal trade-off argument was not answered by the 2AC correctly, because individuals that currently are volunteering for free are just going to wait until the summer where there is an economic motivation to actually go and get involved; i.e., people would rather get paid for service and get paid for spending their summer doing service than not get paid at all.

CROSS-EXAMINATION OF MATT FISHER (GLENBROOK NORTH HIGH SCHOOL) BY SARAH WEINER (SHAWNEE MISSION WEST HIGH SCHOOL)

Q: On this spending disadvantage, you read a piece of evidence that says that AmeriCorps is a wasteful program, correct?

A: (Nods yes).

Q: But you also read a piece of evidence that says that Bush cuts wasteful spending, and I'm confused.

A: So the plan would be cut by Bush? You don't win.

Q: Why does not Bush cut AmeriCorps in the status quo if it's wasteful spending?

A: Bush has been cutting AmeriCorps. The Sanchez evidence indicates that AmeriCorps' past financial mismanagement caused Congress to institute a lot more oversight over AmeriCorps specifically.

Q: Wait, Congress instituted more financial oversight?

A: Yes, but I know what you are trying to get at. That does not answer our Brady argument, though; our Brady evidence says that when Congress spends on one program it quote, "duplicates it"... actually I think it says it "clones," actually, sorry—clones it to five other programs. Basically identical, and then you're costing ...